CALL TO ORDER:
President Archuleta called the meeting to order at 2:03 PM. A quorum was found to be present.

ROLL CALL:


RECOGNITION OF VISITORS: Jerry Legere – Emeritus Faculty Association – Senate Liaison, Scott DeBoard - Staff Senate President

President’s Report
In my written report, you will find details of the upcoming meetings that will be occurring across campus this for collaboration with administration, Staff Senate and UCOSA as well some of the highlights from the Presidential Search Town Hall meeting that occurred on Monday, January 14th.

Between now and the February meeting is the time to refine proposals and resolutions within the committees. Ideally, we want as many as possible to be voted on in March, which means that they will need to be presented on the floor at the February meeting. Though we have two meetings in April, if we work toward March as our end-goal we will not end up with last-minute suspended-rule voting which can limit our understanding of the recommendations that we are making to administration. Please mark your calendars to attend President Betz final Spring Forum on Tuesday, January 29th at 2:00 pm.
As we are beginning to move into more voting this spring, remember to follow the Parliamentary Procedure and be respectful of all voices during debate.

Vice-President’s Report: Senator Barger-Jonson apologized for her absence at last meeting and asked for patience during a difficult hospitalization involving her mother.

Reporter-Historian Report: Senator Milligan had no to report.

Secretary/Treasurer’s Report: Senator Pollack had no to report.

Parliamentarian’s Report: Senator Sealy took the opportunity to provide information on the procedures for making amendments to a pending main motion. An amendment can modify the wording of a motion or, within limits, amend the meaning of a main motion.

- The amendment should state exactly where in the main motion the change is to be made and the exact wording proposed. Please speak slowly so that senators can follow as you state the location of your amendment using paragraph number or sentence number. Provide the specific words or wording you are proposing, again, speaking slowly and clearly.
- For example, the recognized speaker might state, “I move to amend the proposal in paragraph 2, line 3, by changing the words ‘under the advisement of’ to ‘after consultation with’.” It would be best if lengthy or complex wording in amendments is written out or submitted in writing for accuracy.
- A motion to amend requires a second, it is amendable and debatable, and requires a simple majority vote to adopt.
- Adopting an amendment does not decide the main motion. Once any amendments are decided, the main motion must still finish debate and decided.

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS:

A. Faculty Handbook & Academic Affairs - Chairperson Goulding reported about a possible proposal that was discussed to clarify the process in which a department becomes a school. Any changes to the criteria in which a department can petition to become a school will most likely require future Senate approval.

B. Personnel Policies & Adjunct Affairs - Chairperson Bramlett announced today’s voting on a proposal for Senate representation on the University Assessment Committee.

C. Research, Information Resources & Technology – Chairperson Edwards announced that the IRCAT – RCSA grants are open for application. There will be 5 sessions held to help and encourage students to apply - deadline is 2/20.
D. Student Relations, Alumni and Community Service Committee – Chairperson Churchill will vacate the post of chair because of commitments to overseas projects with student. This committee will have a full spring and will require the appointment of a new chairperson.

E. Faculty Welfare Committee - Chairperson Martinez-Sotelo had no report.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:


Senator Goulding summarized the history of the proposal. The points open for discussion included the date change for dossier submission, and an extended five-day time-period between tenure and promotions committee meetings. Clarification was also communicated for the imminent nature of the passing of the proposal. If the Faculty Senate decided not to pass the proposal it would have to wait for the five-year, university-wide committee to meet and alignment with college and department revisions will also be disrupted which could cause possible future legal issues. The five-day waiting period was discussed and debated as well as the amended, non-renewal date of 12/15.

It was clarified that the tenure and promotion handbook editing process had already occurred with relationship to university deans and individual college meetings. The Faculty Senate did not vote in 2016 so a vote must take place now or changes can’t be made until 2024.

The proposal passed with one vote against it.

FSP 2018-2019-002: Faculty Senate Representation on University Assessment Committee

Senator Burdina articulated the goals of this proposal. The Faculty Senate should have a permanent representative on the University Assessment Committee and the individual representatives from any college should not be the same as the Faculty Senate representative. Important questions were discussed involving SPIE administration and the fact that the Faculty Senate representative should have the same rights as all other representatives.

This amendment posed no discussion except for a move to strike out and replace minor sentence wording. It was also mentioned that Faculty Senate has the right to be on all university-wide committees regardless of advocation through proposal.

The amended proposal passed unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS: There was no new business.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: There were no announcements for the good of the order.
**ADJOURNMENT**: President Archuleta adjourned the meeting at 2:51 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,
Secretary/Treasurer
Sallie Pollack
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